	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/088,058	KINOSHITA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Joseph D. Anthony</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mary K. Cameron.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Ms. Cameron said case has been let gone abandoned.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
<u>-</u>		
 Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of sall-isance. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interdew
		101
Lel Andre	= 9/7	106
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)